**Conclusions and Mitigation Strategies**

This study provided a first look into how KI’s water quality, carbon footprint, and wildlife habitat could change under different land use scenarios. Three major takeaways were identified:

1. Agriculture caused the most negative water quality impacts;
2. Land use conversion contributed the most to carbon emissions; and
3. Land use conversion from natural to anthropogenic land cover types decreased habitat availability for wildlife species of concern.

However, given our client’s goal of providing economic opportunities for the local community, strategies to reduce environmental impacts from development were also assessed. By implementing a riparian buffer, the impacts to all three environmental categories were reduced.

**Acknowledgements**

The Big Island Impacts team would like to extend thanks to the following individuals, without whom this project would not have been possible: Dr. Frank Davis, Faculty Advisor; Elizabeth Hiroyasu, PhD Mentor; Dr. Carla D’Antonio, External Advisor; D. Noe Kalipi, Marcus Woo, Brett Lajala, Monique Allison, and Katie Schwind, client; Ian McCullough; Oliver Chadwick; Rebecca Ostertag; Nicole DiManno; David Benitez; Jon Hodge; Nobuko Conroy; Dave Eslinger; and Kalisi Mausio.
Under present conditions, certain parts of KI’s property are so inaccessible that implementing any of the land use conversions proposed in the alternative scenarios would be impossible. A travel cost analysis was performed in ArcGIS to investigate accessibility of the property, and found that the southeastern (mauka) edge was the least accessible.

Access to this region could be enhanced by >10 minutes of travel time or over 50% with the addition of new and improved roads.

Accessibility. Current property access (left) could be substantially improved with a revitalized road network (right).

A water quality analysis using the Nonpoint Source Pollution and Erosion Comparison Tool (NSPECT) calculated total annual runoff, phosphorus, nitrogen, and sediment loadings, and found that row cropping and orchards had the highest loadings per acre. These can be minimized with the addition of riparian buffers in areas that are prone to water quality degradation, which will help mitigate existing water quality issues in the Wainoia Gulch on KI’s property.

Water Quality. Total runoff, phosphorus, nitrogen, and sediment loadings varied relative to the Baseline Scenario.

Four sources of carbon emissions were identified at KI: land use conversion, electricity, cattle, and erosion. One carbon sink, sequestration from the growth of plants, was also discovered. In the Baseline Scenario, cattle are the largest source of emissions, producing over 370 t CO₂ per year. Conversion of forest to pasture becomes the largest source of emissions in all four alternative scenarios, with an average of over 4,000 t CO₂/year.

Carbon Emissions. Annual CO₂ emissions and sequestration(t CO₂) by source and land use scenario.

Three species were selected for review based on their endemism to the region, endangerment status, and possible presence at KI: the Hawaiian hawk, Hawaiian hoary bat, and Hawaiian goose. Habitat for these species was classified as highly, somewhat, or not suitable, and calculated by acre. Habitat for all three species of concern decreased under all alternative scenarios.

Wildlife Habitat. Habitat availability by species under each land use scenario.